Indications and Complications Associated with the Removal of 506 Pieces of Vaginal Mesh Used in Pelvic Floor Reconstruction: A Multicenter Study

John R. Miklos, MD
Director
International Urogynecology Associates
Atlanta, Georgia and Beverly Hills, California

Orawee Chinthakanan, MD, MPH
International Urogynecology Associates
Atlanta, Georgia and Beverly Hills, California
Clinical Instructor
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Faculty of Medicine
Ramathibodi Hospital
Mahidol University
Bangkok, Thailand

Robert D. Moore, DO
Director
Advanced Pelvic Surgery
Atlanta, Georgia
Co-Director
International Urogynecology Associates
Atlanta, Georgia and Beverly Hills, California

Deborah R. Karp, MD
Assistant Professor
Division of Female Pelvic Medicine and Reconstructive Surgery
Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics
Emory University
Atlanta, Georgia

Gladys M. Nogueiras, MD
Department of Gynecology
Section of Urogynecology and Reconstructive
Pelvic Surgery
Cleveland Clinic Florida
Weston, Florida

G. Willy Davila, MD
Chairman
Department of Gynecology
Section of Urogynecology and Reconstructive
Pelvic Surgery
Cleveland Clinic Florida
Weston, Florida

ABSTRACT

Study Objective: Synthetic mesh utilized to treat stress urinary incontinence (SUI) and pelvic organ prolapse (POP) can often result in postoperative complications. The objectives of this study were to determine: 1) the most common indications for mesh removal; 2) the incidences of the removal of specific mesh procedures (such as suburethral sling [SUS], transvaginal mesh [TVM], or sacrocolpopexy); and 3) the idences and types of surgical complications associated with mesh removal.

Design: This was a retrospective study.

Design Classification: Canadian Task Force II-3.